arXiv:1302.3303v1 [math.DG] 14 Feb 2013

On a Class of Singular Projectively Flat Finsler Metrics with Constant Flag Curvature

Guojun Yang

Abstract

Singular Finsler metrics, such as Kropina metrics and m-Kropina metrics, have a lot of applications in the real world. In this paper, we classify a class of singular (α, β)-metrics which are locally projectively flat with constant flag curvature in dimension $n = 2$ and $n \geq 3$ respectively. Further, we determine the local structure of m-Kropina metrics and particularly Kropina metrics which are projectively flat with constant flag curvature and prove that such metrics must be locally Minkowskian but are not necessarily flat-parallel.

Keywords: (α, β)-Metric, m-Kropina Metric, Projectively Flat, Flag Curvature
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53B40, 53A20

1 Introduction

In Finsler geometry, the flag curvature is a natural extension of the sectional curvature in Riemannian geometry. The flag curvature of a Finsler metric on a manifold M is a scalar function $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}(x, y, P)$ of a tangent plane $P \subset T_xM$ and a non-zero vector $y \in P$. It is said to be of constant flag curvature if $\mathbf{K}$ is a constant, and isotropic flag curvature if $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}(x)$. The Schur Theorem shows that $\mathbf{K} = constant$ if $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}(x)$ and the dimension $n \geq 3$. The Beltrami Theorem says that a Riemannian metric is of constant sectional curvature if and only if it is locally projectively flat, that is, geodesics are straight lines locally. However, locally projectively flat Finsler metrics are not necessarily of constant flag curvature or isotropic flag curvature (generally are of scalar flag curvature, namely, $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}(x, y)$ independent of P). On the other hand, it is easy to prove that any two-dimensional projectively flat Finsler metric with isotropic flag curvature is of constant flag curvature.

An (α, β)-*metric* is defined by a Riemannian metric $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x)y^iy^j}$ and a 1-form $\beta = b_i(x)y^i$ on a manifold M, which can be expressed in the following form:

$$F = \alpha\phi(s), \quad s = \beta/\alpha,$$

where $\phi(s)$ is a C^∞ function on $(-b_o, b_o)$. It is known that F is a regular Finsler metric for any (α, β) with $\|\beta\|_\alpha < b_o$ if and only if

$$\phi(s) > 0, \quad \phi(s) - s\phi'(s) + (\rho^2 - s^2)\phi''(s) > 0, \quad (|s| \leq \rho < b_o), \tag{1}$$

where b_o is a constant ([12]). In this paper, we do not assume the regular condition, and we will study a class of singular (α, β)-metrics satisfying (4) below. Singular Finsler metrics have a lot of applications in the real world ([1] [2]). Z. Shen also introduces singular Finsler metrics in [13].

A *Randers metric* is in the form $F = \alpha + \beta$, which is a special (α, β)-metric. It is proved in [10] that a Randers metric $F = \alpha + \beta$ is projectively flat with constant flag curvature if and only if F is locally Minkowskian (equivalently *flat-parallel*, that is, α is flat and β is parallel with respect to α) or after scaling, α and β can be locally written as

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{(1-|x|^2)|y|^2 + \langle x, y\rangle^2}}{1-|x|^2}, \quad \beta = \pm\Big\{\frac{\langle x, y\rangle}{1-|x|^2} + \frac{\langle a, y\rangle}{1-|x|^2}\Big\}, \tag{2}$$

where $a \in R^n$ is a constant vector. The Randers metric $F = \alpha + \beta$ defined by (2) is of constant flag curvature $K = -1/4$.

It is proved in [15] that an (α, β)-metric in the form $F = (\alpha + \beta)^2/\alpha$ is projectively flat with constant flag curvature if and only if F is locally Minkowskian (equivalently flat-parallel) or after scaling, α and β can be locally written as,

$$\alpha = \lambda\frac{\sqrt{(1-|x|^2)|y|^2 + \langle x, y\rangle^2}}{1-|x|^2}, \quad \beta = \pm\lambda\Big\{\frac{\langle x, y\rangle}{1-|x|^2} + \frac{\langle a, y\rangle}{1-|x|^2}\Big\}, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\lambda := \frac{(1 + \langle a, x\rangle)^2}{1-|x|^2}.$$

The metric $F = (\alpha + \beta)^2/\alpha$ defined in (3) is of zero flag curvature (see [8]).

In [13], Z. Shen gives the Taylor expansions for x-analytic projectively flat metrics $F = F(x, y)$ of constant flag curvature K. For some suitable choices of K, $\psi(y)(= F|_{x=0})$ and $\varphi(y)(= F_{x^k}y^k/(2F)|_{x=0})$, one can easily get the projectively flat Finsler metrics of constant flag curvature $\mathbf{K} = K$ in (2) and (3).

In [5], the authors classify projectively flat (α, β)-metrics of constant flag curvature in dimensions $n \geq 3$ and $\phi(0) = 1$. They show that such (α, β)-metrics must be flat-parallel, or after a suitable scaling, isometric to the metrics in (2) or (3). When $n = 2$ and $\phi(0) = 1$, the present author shows the essentially same conclusions (see [16]).

For an (α, β)-metric $F = \alpha\phi(\beta/\alpha)$, if $\phi(0) > 0$, then generally F is regular. However, if $\phi(0) = 0$ or $\phi(0)$ is not defined, then ϕ does not satisfy (1) and in this case F is singular. In this paper, we assume $\phi(s)$ is in the following form

$$\phi(s) := cs + s^m\varphi(s), \tag{4}$$

where c, m are constant with $m \neq 0, 1$ and $\varphi(s)$ is a C^∞ function on a neighborhood of $s = 0$ with $\varphi(0) = 1$, and further for convenience we put $c = 0$ if m is a negative integer. If $m = 0$, we have $\phi(0) = 1$ and this case appears in a lot of literatures. When $m \geq 2$ is an integer, (4) is equivalent to the following condition

$$\phi(0) = 0, \quad \phi^{(k)}(0) = 0 \ \ (2 \leq k \leq m-1), \quad \phi^{(m)}(0) = m!.$$

Another interesting case is $c = 0$ and $\varphi(s) \equiv 1$ in (4), and in this case, $F = \alpha\phi(s)$ is called an m-Kropina metric, and in particular a Kropina metric when $m = -1$.

In [17] [18], the present author classifies the (α, β)-metric $F = \alpha\phi(\beta/\alpha)$ which is Douglasian and locally projectively flat respectively, where $\phi(s)$ satisfies (4). In this paper we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1 *Let $F = \alpha\phi(s)$, $s = \beta/\alpha$, be an (α, β)-metric on an open subset U of the n-dimensional Euclidean space R^n, where $\phi(s)$ satisfies (4). Then F is projectively flat with constant flag curvature K if and only if one of the following cases holds*

(i) *($n \geq 2$) F is flat-parallel, namely, α is flat and β is parallel with respect to α.*

(ii) *($n \geq 2$) F is given by*

$$F = \beta^m (\alpha^2 + k\beta^2)^{\frac{1-m}{2}}, \tag{5}$$

which is projectively flat with $K = 0$, where k is a constant. In this case, F is locally Minkowskian, but generally are not flat-parallel.

(iii) *($n = 2$) F is given by*

$$F = \frac{2b}{1-kb^2}\Big\{ b\sqrt{\alpha^2 - k\beta^2} - \sqrt{b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2} \Big\}, \tag{6}$$

which is projectively flat with $K < 0$, where $b = ||\beta||_\alpha$ and k are two constants with $k \neq 1/b^2$.

(iv) *($n = 2$) F is given by*

$$F = \frac{4b^2}{(1-kb^2)^2} \frac{\big(b\sqrt{\alpha^2 - k\beta^2} - \sqrt{b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2} \big)^2}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 - k\beta^2}}, \tag{7}$$

which is projectively flat with $K = 0$, where $b = ||\beta||_\alpha$ and k are two constants with $k \neq 1/b^2$.

The metric F in Theorem 1.1(i) is a special locally Minkowskian metric. Since F is never Riemannian, an easy proof shows that α is flat if β is parallel with respect to α.

Note that in dimension $n = 2$, the term $\sqrt{b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2}$ is actually a 1-form. Therefore, the metric in (6) is essentially a Randers metric $\widetilde{F} = \widetilde{\alpha} + \widetilde{\beta}$ when $k < 1/b^2$, where

$$\widetilde{\alpha} := \frac{2b^2}{1-kb^2}\sqrt{\alpha^2 - k\beta^2}, \quad \widetilde{\beta} := -\frac{2b}{1-kb^2}\sqrt{b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2}.$$

The metric in (7) is essentially the type $\widetilde{F} = (\widetilde{\alpha} + \widetilde{\beta})^2/\widetilde{\alpha}$ when $k < 1/b^2$, where

$$\widetilde{\alpha} := \frac{4b^4}{(1-kb^2)^2}\sqrt{\alpha^2 - k\beta^2}, \quad \widetilde{\beta} := -\frac{4b^3}{(1-kb^2)^2}\sqrt{b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2}.$$

Thus according to [8], [10] and [15], the local structures of the (α, β)-metrics in (6) and (7) can be determined.

As seen above, $F = \alpha + \beta$ or $F = (\alpha + \beta)^2/\alpha^2$ is locally Minkowskian if and only if F is flat-parallel. However, the metric in (5) is not necessarily the case. When $k > -1/b^2$, the metric in (5) is essentially an m-Kropina metric,

$$F = \bar{\beta}^m \bar{\alpha}^{1-m}, \quad (\bar{\alpha} := \sqrt{\alpha^2 + k\beta^2},\ \bar{\beta} := \beta).$$

Now for an m-Kropina metric (we may put $k = 0$ in (5)), we can determine its local structure as follows.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $F = \beta^m \alpha^{1-m}$ be an m-Kropina metric, where $m \neq 0, 1$. Suppose F is locally projectively flat with vanishing flag curvature. Then F can be written as $F = \widetilde{\alpha}^{1-m}\widetilde{\beta}^m$, where $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is flat and $\widetilde{\beta}$ is parallel with respect to $\widetilde{\alpha}$, and thus $\widetilde{\alpha}$ and $\widetilde{\beta}$ can be locally written as*

$$\widetilde{\alpha} = |y|, \quad \widetilde{\beta} = y^1. \tag{8}$$

Further α, β *are related with* $\widetilde{\alpha}, \widetilde{\beta}$ *by*

$$\alpha = \eta^{\frac{m}{m-1}} \widetilde{\alpha}, \quad \beta = \eta \widetilde{\beta}, \tag{9}$$

where $\eta = \eta(x) > 0$ *is a scalar function. Obviously* F *is locally Minkowskian, but generally not flat-parallel.*

In [9], the authors claim that for a projectively flat Kropina metric $F = \alpha^2/\beta$ with vanishing flag curvature, α must be flat and β must be closed. However, Theorem 1.2 shows a different conclusion. By (9), generally α is not flat and β is not closed since η can be arbitrary.

In Theorem 1.2, if $m \neq -1$, we can obtain the same conclusion under weaker conditions—F is only assumed to be Douglasian if $n = 2$ (see [18]), or F is only assumed to be locally projectively flat if $n \geq 3$ (see [17]), or F is only assumed to be of constant/scalar flag curvature for $n \geq 3$ ([19]).

The general characterization for the metric F in (5) which is locally projectively flat with vanishing flag curvature is given by the equations (20)–(23) below with $P = 0$, where we should note that if we put $m = -1$ in (20)–(23), then we get (13), (14), (36) and (38) with $\mu = -2b^2\tau$. But it seems difficult to obtain their local solutions.

2 Preliminaries

In local coordinates, the geodesics of a Finsler metric $F = F(x, y)$ are characterized by

$$\frac{d^2x^i}{dt^2} + 2G^i(x, \frac{dx^i}{dt}) = 0,$$

where

$$G^i := \frac{1}{4} g^{il} \big\{ [F^2]_{x^k y^l} y^k - [F^2]_{x^l} \big\}. \tag{10}$$

The local functions G^i are called the spray coefficients of F. A Finsler metric F is said to be projectively flat in U, if there is a local coordinate system (U, x^i) such that $G^i = Py^i$, where $P = P(x, y)$ is called the projective factor. In this case, the scalar flag curvature K is given by

$$K = \frac{P^2 - P_{x^k} y^k}{F^2}. \tag{11}$$

Consider an (α, β)-metric $F = \alpha\phi(s), s = \beta/\alpha$. Let $\nabla\beta = b_{i|j} y^i dx^j$ denote the covariant derivatives of β with respect to α and define

$$r_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}(b_{i|j} + b_{j|i}), \quad s_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}(b_{i|j} - b_{j|i}), \quad s_j := b^i s_{ij}, \quad s^i := a^{ik} s_k,$$

where $b^i := a^{ij} b_j$ and (a^{ij}) is the inverse of (a_{ij}). Then by (10), the spray coefficients G^i of F are given by ([3] [4] [6] [7] [11] [12]):

$$G^i = G^i_\alpha + \alpha Q s^i_0 + \alpha^{-1} \Theta(-2\alpha Q s_0 + r_{00}) y^i + \Psi(-2\alpha Q s_0 + r_{00}) b^i, \tag{12}$$

where $s^i_j = a^{ik} s_{kj}, s^i_0 = s^i_k y^k, s_i = b^k s_{ki}, s_0 = s_i y^i$, and

$$Q := \frac{\phi'}{\phi - s\phi'}, \quad \Theta := \frac{Q - sQ'}{2\Delta}, \quad \Psi := \frac{Q'}{2\Delta}, \quad \Delta := 1 + sQ + (b^2 - s^2) Q'.$$

By (12), it is easy to see that if α is projectively flat and β is parallel with respect to α ($r_{ij} = 0, s_{ij} = 0$), then $F = \alpha\phi(\beta/\alpha)$ is projectively flat.

In this paper, our proof is based on the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 ([17] [18]) *Let $F = \alpha\phi(s)$, $s = \beta/\alpha$, be an (α, β)-metric on an open subset $U \subset R^n$. Suppose that β is not parallel with respect to α and ϕ satisfies (4). Let G^i_α be the spray coefficients of α. Then F is projectively flat in U with $G^i = P(x, y)y^i$ if and only if one of the following cases holds:*

(i) *($n \geq 2$) For a 1-form $\rho = \rho_i(x)y^i$, $\phi(s)$, β and G^i_α satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(s) &= ks + \frac{1}{s}, \qquad s_{ij} = \frac{b_i s_j - b_j s_i}{b^2}, && (13) \\ G^i_\alpha &= \rho y^i - \frac{r_{00}}{2b^2} b^i - \frac{\alpha^2 - k\beta^2}{2b^2} s^i, && (14) \end{aligned}$$

where k is a constant. In this case, the projective factor P is given by

$$P = \rho - \frac{1}{b^2(\alpha^2 + c\beta^2)} \Big\{ (\alpha^2 - c\beta^2) s_0 + r_{00}\beta \Big\}. \tag{15}$$

(ii) *($n \geq 2$) For a 1-form $\rho = \rho_i(x)y^i$ and a scalar $\tau = \tau(x)$, $\phi(s)$, β and G^i_αsatisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(s) &= a_1 s + s^m (1 + ks^2)^{\frac{1-m}{2}}, && (16) \\ b_{i|j} &= 2\tau\big\{ m b^2 a_{ij} - (m + 1 + kb^2) b_i b_j \big\}, && (17) \\ G^i_\alpha &= \rho y^i - \tau(m\alpha^2 - k\beta^2) b^i, && (18) \end{aligned}$$

where a_1 and k are constant. In this case, the projective factor P is given by

$$P = \rho + \tau\alpha \Big\{ s(-m + ks^2) - s^2(1 + ks^2)\frac{\phi'}{\phi} \Big\} \tag{19}$$

(iii) *($n \geq 2$) For a 1-form $\rho = \rho_i(x)y^i$ and a scalar $\tau = \tau(x)$, $\phi(s)$, β and G^i_α satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(s) &= s^m (1 + ks^2)^{\frac{1-m}{2}}, \qquad s_{ij} = \frac{b_i s_j - b_j s_i}{b^2}, && (20) \\ r_{ij} &= 2\tau\big\{ m b^2 a_{ij} - (m + 1 + kb^2) b_i b_j \big\} - \frac{m + 1 + 2kb^2}{(m-1)b^2}(b_i s_j + b_j s_i), && (21) \\ G^i_\alpha &= \rho y^i + \Big\{ \frac{2k\beta s_0}{(m-1)b^2} - \tau(m\alpha^2 - k\beta^2) \Big\} b^i - \frac{m\alpha^2 + k\beta^2}{(m-1)b^2} s^i, && (22) \end{aligned}$$

where k is a constant. In this case, the projective factor P is given by

$$P = \rho - 2m\tau\beta - \frac{2m}{(m-1)b^2} s_0. \tag{23}$$

(iv) *($n = 2$) For a 1-form $\rho = \rho_i(x)y^i$ and a scalar $\tau = \tau(x)$, $\phi(s)$, β and G^i_α satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(s) &= m b^2 \sqrt{b^2 - s^2} \int_0^s \frac{1}{(b^2 - t^2)^{3/2}} \Big(\frac{t}{\sqrt{1 - kt^2}} \Big)^{m-1} dt, && (24) \\ r_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{b^2}(b_i s_j + b_j s_i), && (25) \\ G^i_\alpha &= \rho y^i - \frac{(m-2)\alpha^2 + k\beta^2}{(m-1)b^2} s^i, && (26) \end{aligned}$$

where k is a constant. In this case, the projective factor P is given by

$$P=\rho+\frac{1}{(m-1)b^2}\Big\{s(ks^2-1)\frac{\phi'}{\phi}-ks^2-m+2\Big\}s_0. \tag{27}$$

(v) *($n=2$) For a 1-form $\rho=\rho_i(x)y^i$ and a scalar $\tau=\tau(x)$, $\phi(s)$ and β satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(s) &= k_1s+\frac{2k_2}{s}+\frac{1}{s^3}, &(28)\\ r_{ij} &= -2\tau\big\{3b^2a_{ij}+(k_2b^2-2)b_ib_j\big\}+\frac{(3k_1+k_2^2)b^4-4}{8b^2(1+k_2b^2)}(b_is_j+b_js_i), &(29)\\ G^i_\alpha &= \rho y^i+\tau(3\alpha^2+k_2\beta^2)b^i+\Big\{\frac{k_1-k_2^2}{8(1+k_2b^2)}(3b^2\alpha^2-\beta^2)\\ &\quad +(\frac{k_2}{2}-\frac{3}{4b^2})\alpha^2-\frac{k_2}{b^2}\beta^2\Big\}s^i, &(30)\end{aligned}$$

where k_1,k_2 are constant satisfying $1+k_2b^2\neq 0$. In this case, the projective factor P is given by

$$\begin{aligned}P &= \rho+2\tau\beta\Big\{3-\frac{2c\beta^4}{\alpha^4+c\beta^4+k_2\beta^2(2\alpha^2+k_2\beta^2)}\Big\}+(\frac{k_2b^2-3}{2b^2}+T)s_0, &(31)\\ T: &= c\frac{4\beta^2(2\beta^2-b^2\alpha^2)+3b^4(\alpha^4+c\beta^4)+k_2b^2\beta^2(6b^2\alpha^2+4\beta^2+3k_2b^2\beta^2)}{8b^2(1+k_2b^2)\big[\alpha^4+c\beta^4+k_2\beta^2(2\alpha^2+k_2\beta^2)\big]}.\\ c: &= k_1-k_2^2.\end{aligned}$$

3 The first class in Theorem 2.1

In this section, we study the property of the (α,β)-metric determined by (13) and (14) in Theorem 2.1.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $F=k\beta+\alpha^2/\beta$, where k is a constant, be an n-dimensional (α,β)-metric which is projectively flat with constant flag curvature K. Then we have $K=0$, and then F is locally Minkowskian.*

Proof. We only need to assume that β is not parallel with respect to α. The projective factor P is given by (15). By (14) we get

$$\alpha_0:=\alpha_{x^k}y^k=a_{ir}y^r\frac{2}{\alpha}G^i_\alpha=2\rho\alpha+\frac{(cs^2-1)s_0\alpha-sr_{00}}{b^2}. \tag{32}$$

Now it follows from (14) and (32) that there holds

$$s_{x^k}y^k=-\frac{s\alpha_0}{\alpha}+\frac{r_{00}+2b_iG^i_\alpha}{\alpha}=-\frac{s\big[(cs^2-1)s_0\alpha-sr_{00}\big]}{b^2\alpha}. \tag{33}$$

Then plug $\phi(s)=ks+1/s$, (15), (32) and (33) into (11) and thus (11) can be written in the following form

$$A_1\beta^2+Kb^4\alpha^8=0, \tag{34}$$

where A_1 is a homogeneous polynomial in (y^i) of degree six. Clearly by (34) we get $K=0$. By $K=0$, (34) has the following equivalent form

$$A_2(\alpha^2+k\beta^2)+3\beta^2(r_{00}-2k\beta s_0)^2=0, \tag{35}$$

where A_2 is a polynomial in (y^i). So (35) implies

$$r_{00} = 2k\beta s_0 + \mu(\alpha^2 + k\beta^2), \tag{36}$$

where $\mu = \mu(x)$ is a scalar function. Now plug (36) into (15) and then we have

$$P = \rho - \frac{\mu\beta + s_0}{b^2}. \tag{37}$$

So P is a 1-form and thus $G^i = Py^i$ are quadratic, which shows F is Berwaldian. Plus $K = 0$, F is locally Minkowskian. So we have $P = 0$ and thus again by (37) we have

$$\rho = \frac{\mu\beta + s_0}{b^2}. \tag{38}$$

4 The second class in Theorem 2.1

In this section, we study the property of the (α, β)-metric determined by (16), (17) and (18) in Theorem 2.1.

Proposition 4.1 *Let F be an n-dimensional (α, β)-metric given by (16) which is projectively flat with constant flag curvature K. Suppose β is not parallel with respect to α. Then we have $a_1 = 0$, $K = 0$.*

Proof. The projective factor P is given by (19). By (17) we get

$$r_{00} = 2\tau\big(mb^2 - (m + 1 + kb^2)s^2\big)\alpha^2. \tag{39}$$

By (18) we get

$$\alpha_0 := \alpha_{x^k} y^k = a_{ir} y^r \frac{2}{\alpha} G^i_\alpha = 2\tau s(ks^2 - m)\alpha^2 + 2\rho\alpha. \tag{40}$$

Now it follows from (18), (39) and (40) that there holds

$$s_{x^k} y^k = -\frac{s\alpha_0}{\alpha} + \frac{r_{00} + 2b_i G^i_\alpha}{\alpha} = -2\tau\alpha s^2(1 + ks^2). \tag{41}$$

The function $\phi(s)$ in (16) satisfies

$$\phi'' = \frac{(ks^2 - m)(\phi - s\phi')}{s^2(1 + ks^2)}. \tag{42}$$

Then plug (19), (40)–(42) into (11) and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K\alpha^2\phi^2 = {} & \rho^2 - \rho_{00} + (m+1)\big(\tau_0 - (m+1)s\tau^2\alpha\big)s\alpha + 3\frac{(\phi - s\phi')^2}{\phi^2} s^2(1 + ks^2)^2\tau^2\alpha^2 \\ & + \frac{\phi - s\phi'}{\phi} s(1 + ks^2)\big[2(m-1)\tau^2 s\alpha - \tau_0\big]\alpha, \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where

$$\tau_i := \frac{\partial\tau}{\partial x^i}, \quad \tau_0 := \tau_i y^i, \quad \rho_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{\partial\rho_i}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial\rho_j}{\partial x^i}\Big), \quad \rho_{00} := \rho_{ij} y^i y^j. \tag{44}$$

To deal with (43), we choose a special coordinate system at a point as that in [11]. At a fixed point x_o, make a change of coordinates: $(s, y^A) \mapsto (y^1, y^A)$ by

$$y^1 = \frac{s}{\sqrt{b^2 - s^2}}\bar{\alpha}, \quad y^A = y^A,$$

where $\bar{\alpha} = \sqrt{\sum_{A=2}^{n}(y^A)^2}$. Then

$$\alpha = \frac{b}{\sqrt{b^2 - s^2}}\bar{\alpha}, \quad \beta = \frac{bs}{\sqrt{b^2 - s^2}}\bar{\alpha}.$$

We get

$$\rho = \frac{s\rho_1}{\sqrt{b^2 - s^2}}\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\rho}_0, \quad \rho_{00} = \frac{\rho_{11}s^2}{b^2 - s^2}\bar{\alpha}^2 + \frac{2s\bar{\rho}_{10}}{\sqrt{b^2 - s^2}}\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\rho}_{00}, \quad \tau_0 = \frac{s\tau_1}{\sqrt{b^2 - s^2}}\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\tau}_0, \tag{45}$$

where $\bar{\rho}_0 := \rho_A y^A$, $\bar{\rho}_{10} := \rho_{1A} y^A$, $\bar{\rho}_{00} := \rho_{AB} y^A y^B$, $\bar{\tau}_0 := \tau_A y^A$.

Under the local coordinate system (s, y^A), (43) can be written in the form $A\bar{\alpha}^2 + B\bar{\alpha} + C = 0$. So we have $A\bar{\alpha}^2 + C = 0$ and $B = 0$. By $A\bar{\alpha}^2 + C = 0$ we have

$$\bar{\rho}_0^2 - \bar{\rho}_{00} = \delta\bar{\alpha}^2, \tag{46}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &3b^2\tau^2 s^2(1 + ks^2)^2(\phi - s\phi')^2 + bs^2(1 + ks^2)\big[2(m-1)b\tau^2 - \tau_1\big]\phi(\phi - s\phi') \\ &\quad -Kb^2\phi^4 + \Big\{\big[(m+1)b\tau_1 - (m+1)^2 b^2\tau^2 + \mu - \delta\big]t^2 + b^2\delta\Big\}\phi^2 = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

where $\delta = \delta(x)$ and $\mu = \mu(x) := \rho_1^2 - \rho_{11}$ are some scalar functions.

We consider (47). Plug the Taylor expansion of (16) into (47) and let p_i be the coefficients of s^i in (47).

Case I: Assume $a_1 \neq 0$. We will show this is impossible.

Firstly we have $\delta = 0$ from $p_2 = 0$. Then $p_4 = 0$ gives

$$\mu = (m+1)^2 b^2\tau^2 - (m+1)b\tau_1 + b^2 a_1^2 K. \tag{48}$$

Plug $\delta = 0$ and (48) into $p_{m+3} = 0$ and we obtain

$$\tau_1 = 2(m-1)b\tau^2 + \frac{2ba_1^2 K}{m-1}. \tag{49}$$

Plug (16), $\delta = 0$, (48) and (49) into (47) and then it is clear that $p_{2m+2} = 0$ gives

$$K = \frac{(m-1)^2}{a_1^2}\tau^2, \tag{50}$$

and $p_{3m+1} = 0$ gives $K = 0$. Thus we have $\tau = 0$ from (50). Then by (17) we get a contradiction.

Case II: As shown above we have $a_1 = 0$. Then $p_{2m} = 0$ gives $\delta = 0$. Plug $\delta = 0$ into $p_{2m+2} = 0$ and we have

$$\mu = 4mb^2\tau^2 - 2mb\tau_1. \tag{51}$$

Now plugging $\delta = 0$ and (51) into (47) yields $K = 0$. Q.E.D.

5 The third class in Theorem 2.1

In this section, we study the property of an (α, β)-metric determined by (20), (21) and (22) in Theorem 2.1.

Proposition 5.1 *Let F be an n-dimensional (α, β)-metric given by the $\phi(s)$ in (20) which is projectively flat with constant flag curvature K. Then we have $K = 0$ and F is locally Minkowskian.*

Proof. The projective factor P is given by (23). By (21) we get

$$r_{00} = 2\tau\big(mb^2 - (m+1+kb^2)s^2\big)\alpha^2 - \frac{2(m+1+2kb^2)}{(m-1)b^2} s\alpha s_0. \tag{52}$$

By (22) we get

$$\alpha_0 := \alpha_{x^k} y^k = a_{ir} y^r \frac{2}{\alpha} G^i_\alpha = 2\rho\alpha + \frac{2(k\beta^2 - m\alpha^2)\big[(m-1)\tau b^2\beta + s_0\big]}{(m-1)b^2\alpha}. \tag{53}$$

Now it follows from (22), (52) and (53) that

$$s_{x^k} y^k = -\frac{s\alpha_0}{\alpha} + \frac{r_{00} + 2b_i G^i_\alpha}{\alpha} = -\frac{2\beta(\alpha^2 + k\beta^2)\big[(m-1)\tau b^2\beta + s_0\big]}{(m-1)b^2\alpha^3}. \tag{54}$$

Then plug (20), (23), (53) and (54) into (11) and we obtain

$$(m-1)^2 b^4 K \beta^{2m} (\alpha^2 + k\beta^2)^{1-m} + A_0 = 0, \tag{55}$$

where A_0 is a polynomial in (y^i). Since $m \neq 0, 1$, clearly we get $K = 0$ from (55). Further, we conclude that F is Berwaldian since by (23), the projective factor P is a 1-form. Thus F is locally Minkowskian. Q.E.D.

6 The fourth class in Theorem 2.1

In this section, we study the property of the (α, β)-metric determined by (24), (25) and (26) in Theorem 2.1.

Proposition 6.1 *Let $F = \alpha\phi(s)$, $s = \beta/\alpha$, be an (α, β)-metric on an open subset U of the two-dimensional Euclidean space R^2. Suppose that β is not parallel with respect to α and F satisfies (24), (25) and (26) with constant flag curvature K. Then we have one of the following cases:*

(i) *ϕ is given by*

$$\phi(s) = s^m \big(1 - \frac{s^2}{b^2}\big)^{\frac{1-m}{2}}. \tag{56}$$

In this case, we have $K = 0$.

(ii) *ϕ is given by*

$$\phi(s) = \frac{2b}{1-kb^2}\Big\{b\sqrt{1-ks^2} - \sqrt{b^2 - s^2}\Big\}, \tag{57}$$

where $k \neq 1/b^2$.

(iii) ϕ *is given by*

$$\phi(s) = \frac{4b^2}{(1-kb^2)^2}\frac{\big(b\sqrt{1-ks^2}-\sqrt{b^2-s^2}\big)^2}{\sqrt{1-ks^2}}, \tag{58}$$

where $k \neq 1/b^2$*. In this case, we have* $K = 0$*.*

Proof. The projective factor P is given by (27). By (25) and (26) we get

$$r_{00} = -\frac{2s}{b^2}\alpha s_0, \quad \alpha_{x^k}y^k = \Big\{2\rho - \frac{2(m-2+kt^2)s_0}{(m-1)b^2}\Big\}\alpha, \quad s_{x^k}y^k = \frac{2s(ks^2-1)}{(m-1)b^2}s_0. \tag{59}$$

It follows from (24) that $\phi(s)$ satisfies

$$\frac{\phi - s\phi' + (b^2-s^2)\phi''}{s\phi + (b^2-s^2)\phi'} = \frac{m-1}{s(1-ks^2)}. \tag{60}$$

Now substitute (59) and (60) into (11) and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K\alpha^2\phi^2 = {} & \rho^2 - \rho_{00} + \frac{1}{b^2}S_{00} - \frac{2\rho}{b^2}s_0 + \frac{3(ks^2-1)^2}{(m-1)^2b^4\phi^2}(\phi - s\phi')^2 s_0^2 \\ & + \frac{ks^2-1}{(m-1)b^2\phi}\Big\{S_{00} + \frac{2(kb^2s^2-2s^2+b^2)}{b^2(b^2-s^2)}s_0^2 - 2\rho s_0\Big\}(\phi - s\phi') \\ & + \frac{(1-m+2kb^2)s^2+(m-3)b^2}{(m-1)(b^2-s^2)b^4}s_0^2, \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

where ρ_{00} and S_{00} are defined by

$$\rho_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial \rho_j}{\partial x^i}\Big), \quad \rho_{00} := \rho_{ij}y^iy^j, \quad S_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{\partial s_i}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial s_j}{\partial x^i}\Big), \quad S_{00} := S_{ij}y^iy^j.$$

Since the dependence of ϕ on s is not clear, we choose a special coordinate system (s, y^2) at a fixed point x_o as that in Section 4.

Under the local coordinate system (s, y^2), put ρ and ρ_{00} as in (45) and

$$S_{00} = \frac{S_{11}s^2}{b^2-s^2}\bar{\alpha}^2 + \frac{2s\bar{S}_{10}}{\sqrt{b^2-s^2}}\bar{\alpha} + \bar{S}_{00}.$$

Substitute them into (61) and then (61) can be written in the form

$$A\bar{\alpha}^2 + B\bar{\alpha} + C = 0, \tag{62}$$

where A, B, C are some polynomials in (y^2). By (62) we have $A\bar{\alpha}^2 + C = 0$ and $B = 0$. Since F is two-dimensional, $A\bar{\alpha}^2 + C = 0$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & 3(b^2-s^2)(1-ks^2)^2(\phi - s\phi')^2 s_2^2 - (1-ks^2)\Big\{\big[(2kb^2+b^2-mb^2-4)s_2^2 \\ & \quad +(m-1)b^2(2\rho_2 s_2 + \eta + S_{11})\big]s^2 + b^2(mb^2-b^2+2)s_2^2 \\ & -(m-1)b^4(2\rho_2 s_2 + \eta)\Big\}\phi(\phi - s\phi') + (m-1)\phi^2\Big\{\big[(2kb^2-mb^2+b^2-m+1)s_2^2 \\ & \quad +(m-1)b^2(2\rho_2 s_2 + \eta + S_{11} + b^2\mu - b^2\delta)\big]s^2 + b^2(mb^2-b^2+m-3)s_2^2 \\ & -(m-1)b^4(2\rho_2 s_2 + Kb^2\phi^2 + \eta - b^2\delta)\Big\} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

where

$$\mu := \rho_1^2 - \rho_{11}, \quad \delta := \rho_2^2 - \rho_{22}, \quad \eta = s_2^2 - S_{22},$$

Put

$$\phi(s) = s^m + a_{m+2}s^{m+2} + a_{m+4}s^{m+4} + a_{m+6}s^{m+6} + a_{m+8}s^{m+8} + o(s^{m+8}), \tag{64}$$

and plug it into (60). Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_{m+2} &= \frac{(m-1)(mkb^2+2)}{2(m+2)b^2}, \quad a_{m+4} = \frac{(m^2-1)[mkb^2(mkb^2+2kb^2+4)+8]}{8(m+2)(m+4)b^4}, & (65)\\ a_{m+6} &= \frac{m+3}{6(m+6)b^2}\big\{(mkb^2+4kb^2+6)a_{m+4} - (m+1)ka_{m+2}\big\}, & (66)\\ a_{m+8} &= \frac{m+5}{8(m+8)b^2}\big\{(mkb^2+6kb^2+8)a_{m+6} - (m+3)ka_{m+4}\big\}. & (67) \end{aligned}$$

Substitute (64) into (63) and let p_i be the coefficients of s^i in (63). Then we can easily get p_{2m} and p_{2m+2} ($m \geq 2$), p_{2m+4} ($m \geq 3$), p_{2m+6} ($m \geq 4$) and p_{2m+8} ($m \geq 5$). Here we omit their expressions.

Case I: Assume $k = 1/b^2$. Plug $k = 1/b^2$ into (60) and then solving it gives

$$\phi(s) = s^m(1 - \frac{s^2}{b^2})^{\frac{1-m}{2}}. \tag{68}$$

Plug $k = 1/b^2$ into $p_{2m} = 0$ and $p_{2m+2} = 0$ and we have

$$\mu = -\frac{2}{b^2}S_{11}, \quad \delta = \frac{2}{b^2}\big[b^2(\eta + 2\rho_2 s_2) - (2+b^2)s_2^2\big]. \tag{69}$$

Substitute $k = 1/b^2$, (68) and (69) into (63) and we easily get $K = 0$.

Case II: Assume $k \neq 1/b^2$. We will show $m = 2$ or $m = 4$.

Case II (A): Suppose $m \geq 5$. This case will show a contradiction.

Solve the system $p_{2m} = 0$, $p_{2m+2} = 0$, $p_{2m+4} = 0$, $p_{2m+6} = 0$, and by using (65) and (66) we get

$$\mu = \frac{48(b^2k-1)}{(m+2)^2b^4}s_2^2, \qquad \delta = \frac{(m-2)(5m^2+3m-32)}{(m^2-1)(m+2)b^4}s_2^2, \tag{70}$$

$$S_{11} = \frac{(1-kb^2)(m^3+41m^2-10m+40)}{2(m^2-1)(m+2)^2b^2}s_2^2, \tag{71}$$

$$\eta = \Big\{1 + \frac{9m^3+m^2-42m+56}{2(m^2-1)(m+2)b^2}\Big\}s_2^2 - 2\rho_2 s_2. \tag{72}$$

Substitute (70)–(72) into $p_{2m+8} = 0$ and by using (65)–(67) we obtain $s_2 = 0$, which contradicts with that β is not parallel.

Case II (B): Put $m = 3$. This case is also a contradiction.

Likely, we can first get μ, δ, η and S_{11} by solving the system

$$p_6 = 0, p_8 = 0, p_{10} = 0, p_{12} = 0.$$

Then plugging them into $p_{14} = 0$ yields

$$s_2^2 = \frac{6125}{8(kb^2-1)^3} K b^{10}. \tag{73}$$

Finally, we obtain $K = 0$ by $p_{16} = 0$, where we need (65)–(67) ($m = 3$) and

$$a_{m+10} = \frac{m+7}{10(m+10)b^2}\big\{(mkb^2 + 8kb^2 + 10)a_{m+8} - (m+5)ka_{m+6}\big\}, \quad (m=3).$$

Thus we get a contradiction by (73) and $K = 0$.

Case II (C): Put $m = 2$. This case gives Proposition 6.1(ii) since the ODE (60) with $m = 2$ gives (57).

Case II (D): Put $m = 4$. This case gives Proposition 6.1(iii) since the ODE (60) with $m = 4$ gives (58).

7 The fifth class in Theorem 2.1

In this section, we study the property of the (α, β)-metric determined by (28), (29) and (30) in Theorem 2.1. Note that in Theorem 2.1(v), if $k_1 - k_2^2 = 0$, then Theorem 2.1(v) is a special case of Theorem 2.1(iii) with $m = -3$. So we only need to assume $k_1 - k_2^2 \neq 0$ in the following proposition.

Proposition 7.1 *Let $F = k_1\beta + 2k_2\alpha^2/\beta + \alpha^4/\beta^3$ with $k_1 - k_2^2 \neq 0$ be a two-dimensional (α, β)-metric which is projectively flat with constant flag curvature K. Then we have $K = 0$ and F is locally Minkowskian. In this case, α is flat and β is parallel with respect to α.*

Proof. The projective factor P is given by (31). Similarly as the discussions in the above classes, by (29) we can get the expressions of r_{00} and r_0, and by (30) we can get the expressions of

$$\alpha_0 := \alpha_{x^k} y^k = a_{ir} y^r \frac{2}{\alpha} G^i_\alpha, \quad s_{x^k} y^k = -\frac{s\alpha_0}{\alpha} + \frac{r_{00} + 2b_i G^i_\alpha}{\alpha}$$

Then plug them together with (28) and (31) into (11) and thus (11) can be firstly written in the following form

$$A_1\beta^2 - 64Kb^4(1 + k_2b^2)^3\alpha^{16} = 0, \tag{74}$$

where A_1 is a homogeneous polynomial in (y^i). Clearly by (74) we get $K = 0$. By $K = 0$, (74) has the following equivalent form

$$A_2(\alpha^4 + 2k_2\alpha^2\beta^2 + k_1\beta^4) + 48(1 + k_2b^2)\beta^4(\alpha^2 + k_2\beta^2)^4 T^2 = 0, \tag{75}$$

where A_2 is a homogeneous polynomial in (y^i) and T is defined by

$$T := b^2 s_0 \alpha^2 + \big[8b^2\tau(1 + k_2b^2)\beta - (2 + k_2b^2)s_0\big]\beta^2.$$

Since $k_1 \neq k_2^2$, it is easy to show that $(\alpha^2 + k_2\beta^2)^4$ and $\alpha^4 + 2k_2\alpha^2\beta^2 + k_1\beta^4$ have no common factor. Thus $\alpha^4 + 2k_2\alpha^2\beta^2 + k_1\beta^4$ must be divided by T and so we get $T = 0$. Now by $T = 0$ and the definition of T, we easily show that

$$s_0 = 0, \quad \tau = 0.$$

Further, the second formula in (13) always hold in two-dimensional case. So we have $s_{ij} = 0$ by $s_i = 0$. Thus β is parallel with respect to α. Q.E.D.

8 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let $F = \beta^m \alpha^{1-m}$ be an m-Kropina metric ($m \neq 0, 1$). For the case $m \neq -1$, the conclusion has been proved under weaker condition that F is only assumed to be Douglasian if $n = 2$ (see [18]) and F is only assumed to be locally projectively flat if $n \geq 3$ (see [17]). Therefore, we only need to assume $m = -1$, that is, F is a Kropina metric.

By the proof in Section 3, we have (36) and (38) with $k = 0$. Then F is locally projectively flat with constant flag curvature if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} r_{00} &= \mu\alpha^2, \quad s_{ij} = \frac{b_i s_j - b_j s_i}{b^2}, && (76)\\ G^i_\alpha &= \rho y^i - \frac{r_{00}}{2b^2} b^i - \frac{\alpha^2}{2b^2} s^i, && (77)\\ \rho &= \frac{\mu\beta + s_0}{b^2}. && (78) \end{aligned}$$

Next we apply a useful deformation on α and β to obtain the local expressions of α and β based on (76)–(78). Define

$$\widetilde{\alpha} := \frac{1}{b}\alpha, \quad \widetilde{\beta} := \frac{1}{b^2}\beta. \tag{79}$$

In [17] and [18] we define a deformation applied to m-Kropina metric as follows

$$\widetilde{\alpha} := b^m \alpha, \quad \widetilde{\beta} := b^{m-1}\beta.$$

When $m = -1$, the above becomes (79). Under the deformation (79), by (76)–(78), we obtain

$$\widetilde{r}_{ij} = 0, \quad \widetilde{s}_{ij} = 0, \quad \widetilde{G}^i = 0. \tag{80}$$

Therefore, by (80) we conclude that $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is flat and $\widetilde{\beta}$ is parallel with respect to $\widetilde{\alpha}$. Now put $\eta := b^2$, and then we get (9) with $m = -1$. Q.E.D.

Acknowledgement:

The author expresses his sincere thanks to China Scholarship Council for its funding support. He did this job during the period (June 2012–June 2013) when he as a postdoctoral researcher visited Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis, USA.

References

[1] P. Antonelli, R. Ingarden and M. Matsumoto, *The theory of sprays and Finsler spaces with applications in physics and biology*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993.

[2] P. Antonelli, B. Han and J. Modayil, *New results on 2-dimensional constant sprays with an application to heterochrony*, World Scientific Press, 1998.

[3] S. S. Chern and Z. Shen, Riemann-Finsler geometry, World Scientific, 2005.

[4] B. Li, Y. B. Shen and Z. Shen, *On a class of Douglas metrics in Finsler geometry*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica, **46**(3), (2009), 355-365.

[5] B. Li and Z. Shen, *On a class of projectively fat Finsler metrics with constant flag curvature*, Int. J. of Math., **18**(7) (2007), 1-12.

[6] B. Li and Z. Shen, *On a class of weakly Landsberg metrics*, Science in China, Series A, **50**(2007), 75-85.

[7] M. Matsumoto, *Finsler spaces with (α, β)-metric of Douglas type*, Tensor, N. S. **60**(1998), 123-134.

[8] X. Mo, Z. Shen and C. Yang, *Some constructions of projectively flat Finsler metrics*, Science in China, Series A, **49**(2006), 703-714.

[9] S. Narasimhamurthy, L. Kumari and C. Bagewadi, *Projectively flat Kropina metric with $K = 0$*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, **5** (12) (2011), 585-593.

[10] Z. Shen, *Projectively flat Randers metrics of constant curvature*, Math. Ann. **325**(2003), 19-30.

[11] Z. Shen, *On projectively flat (α, β)-metrics*, Canadian Math. Bull., **52**(1)(2009), 132-144.

[12] Z. Shen, *On a class of Landsberg metrics in Finsler geometry*, Canadian J. of Math., **61**(6) (2009), 1357-1374.

[13] Z. Shen, *Projectively flag Finsler metrics of constant flag curvature*, Trans. of Amer. Mtah. Soc. **355**(4)(2003), 1713-1728.

[14] Z. Shen and G. Yang, *On a class of weakly Einstein Finsler metrics*, Israel J. of Math. (To appear)

[15] Z. Shen and G. C. Yildirim, *On a class of projectively flat metrics with constant flag curvature*, Canadian J. of Math., **60**(2)(2008), 443-456.

[16] G. Yang, *On a class of two-dimensional projectively flat Finsler metrics with constant flag curvature*, Acta. Math. Sin., 2013, (DOI)10.1007/s10114-013-0728-0.

[17] G. Yang, *On a class of singular Douglas and projectively flat Finsler Metrics*, preprint.

[18] G. Yang, *On a class of two-dimensional singular Douglas and projectively flat Finsler Metrics*, preprint.

[19] G. Yang, *On m-Kropina metrics of scalar flag curvature*, preprint.

Guojun Yang
Department of Mathematics
Sichuan University
Chengdu 610064, P. R. China
ygjsl2000@yahoo.com.cn